

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/602,783	06/24/2003	Basil C. Linton	ITW-14011	1675
44702	7590 12/09/2004		EXAM	INER
	R CHONG FLAHERT VENUE, SUITE 825	KIM, EUG	KIM, EUGENE LEE	
NEW YORK	,	.5	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3721	

DATE MAILED: 12/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	7,00			
	10/602,783	LINTON ET AL.	V			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
<u> </u>	Eugene L Kim	3721				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	/ IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH	(S) FROM				
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versions are provided to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely the mailing date of this or ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11/18	<u>3/2004</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims			•			
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the applicatio	n.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	, , , <u> </u>					
6)⊠ Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers	·					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		, , , , ,				
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National	Stage			
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
	·					
Attachment(s)	∆ □	(DTO 440)				
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/24/2003.	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:)-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/602,783 Page 2

Art Unit: 3721

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-15, 24 - 31are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 11/18/2004.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 16-23, 32-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lauzon et al (#6,609,998) in view of Schneider (#6,804,935). Lauzon et al show a method of manufacturing a reclosable bag comprising: folding a web with a U-fold so that a first portion extends beyond a second portion fig 14b, joining a first and second zipper strip to the first and second web, joining confronting portions of the first and second web figs 13b, 14b. Lauzon et al show removing the folded edge as shown in fig 13b with tear line 67. Lauzon et al show discontinuities as holes and slits as shown in the figures. Lauzon et al show the bag being sealed with line 63 being parallel to fold line as shown in fig 14b. Lauzon et al do not show slider means as claimed. However, Schneider et al teach the known concept of attaching a slider means with a zipper as well as pressing and pulling apart zipper means to open and close the zipper means

Application/Control Number: 10/602,783

Art Unit: 3721

(col 1 lines 10+). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide Lauzon et al with slider attaching means as taught by Schneider to open up the zipper using known slider means as opposed to pressing or pulling apart the zipper means to close and open the zipper means. Regarding the sequencing of steps in claims 21-23, Lauzon shows the zipper strips being attached after the folding step. The actual sequencing is merely a matter of engineering design choice and solves no stated problem. See in re Kuhle, 188 USPQ 7 (CCPA 1975) Applicant even claims the sequencing with various different sequences which shows that the sequence can be varied as a matter of design choice.

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eugene L Kim whose telephone number is 571 272-4463. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday 8 a.m. to 6 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada can be reached on 571 272-4467. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/602,783

Art Unit: 3721

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Eugene L Kim Primary Examiner Art Unit 3721